



# **Revisiting N-Gram Models: Their Impact in Modern Neural Networks for HTR**

Solène Tarride and Christopher Kermorvant

# Are n-gram models still useful with modern architectures?

- N-gram language models were once crucial to enhance performance in Automatic Text Recognition (ATR)
- Over time, explicit language models have been overshadowed by models with implicit language modeling capabilities (transformers)
- Integrating explicit n-gram models with transformers
- $\rightarrow$  Impact on transformer performance vs. CRNN-CTC?
- $\rightarrow$  Effect on inference speed?
- N-gram models are applicable at different text granularity

 $\rightarrow$  Which granularity is the most effective? Characters? Subwords? Words?

# Methodology and experiments

#### **Datasets**

- IAM Lines & Pages (no header)
- RIMES Lines & Pages

### Explicit language modeling

- N-gram language models are built with the KenLM library
- Tested with different tokenization strategies

#### Results

- Relative change in Word Error Rate (WER) averaged on all datasets

#### • NorHand Lines & Paragraphs



## Models

PyLaia

- CRNN with CTC decoder
- Trained on text-lines
- Document Attention Network (DAN)
  - CNN encoder with Transformer decoder
  - Trained on text-lines and on pages/paragraphs

- Character (6-gram)
- Subword (6-gram)
- Word (3-word)

Level	Tokenized text
Character	The _ numerical ly _ largest _ gr oup
Subword	The _ numer ic ally _ large st _ gro up
Word	The _ numerically _ largest _ group

• We combined PyLaia and DAN with n-gram models thanks to Torchaudio's ctc\_decoder function

- Character LM: -20% WER
- Subword LM: -5% WER
- Word LM: +13% WER

None Character Subword Word



PyLaia Line DAN Line DAN Page

- Character n-gram models benefit PyLaia more than DAN:
  - 20% improvement for PyLaia
  - 8% improvement for DAN
- Language models impact speed: 10x slower for PyLaia, 1.4x for DAN

# TL;DR

- Are n-gram models still useful with modern architectures? **Yes!**
- N-gram models still improve performance for HTR :
  - 20% reduction in WER for PyLaia
  - 8% reduction in WER for DAN
- Granularity matters:
  - Character n-gram models are great
  - Subword n-gram models are effective
  - Word n-gram models are
  - counterproductive
- Performance improves, but **at the cost** of a higher inference time



• Contact information: Solène Tarride (starride@teklia.com) https://teklia.com/ @\_Teklia\_